

Application No.: 10/580,147
Filing Date: March 30, 2007

SUMMARY OF TELEPHONIC INTERVIEW

Attendees, Date and Type of Interview

The telephonic interview was conducted on February 24, 2011 and attended by Examiner Layla D. Bland and Applicant's representative, Raymond D. Smith. The interview was continued during a follow-up telephone call on March 3, 2011.

Exhibits and/or Demonstrations

n/a

Identification of Claims Discussed

Claims 1, 11, 13 and 20-28.

Identification of Prior Art Discussed

n/a

Proposed Amendments

n/a

Principal Arguments and Other Matters

The Examiner suggested amending Claim 1 to include the scope of Claim 9, which recites "wherein D consists of an optionally acylated glycosyloxy sugar moiety or an optionally acylated oligoglycosyloxy sugar moiety of 2 to 6 α -1,2 and/or α -1,6 linked sugars." (emphasis added)

The Examiner requested that Claim 11 be amended to correct the first definition of E to recite " $-C_aHR_3C_bH(CH_2G)-$ ", wherein the second carbon is denoted with subscript "b."

The Examiner indicated that the product claims were in condition for allowance, but proposed canceling withdrawn Claims 13 and 20-28.

During the follow up conversation on March 3, 2011, Applicant's representative requested that the Examiner consider allowing a new claim directed to the first, third and fourth molecules formerly recited in canceled Claim 30. The Examiner appeared to be favorably disposed towards allowing such a claim.

Application No.: 10/580,147
Filing Date: March 30, 2007

Results of Interview

Applicant's representative agreed to submit a Supplementary Amendment to formalize the claim amendments proposed by the Examiner and the Applicant.